



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,622	07/13/2001	Marco Michael Rengan	RPS920010005US1	2989
45503	7590	04/11/2005	EXAMINER	
DILLON & YUDELL LLP 8911 N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY., SUITE 2110 AUSTIN, TX 78759			NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/904,622	RENGAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kimnhung Nguyen	2674

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on appeal brief on 1-10-2005.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 24-37 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 24-37 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 1-10-05, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED.

A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

2. This Application has been examined. The claims 1-7 and 24-37 are pending. The examination results are as following.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 24-25, 27, 29-32, 34, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chee (US 5,694,141).

Regarding claims 1, 24, 31, Chee discloses in figure 15, a method for providing displaying control on a computer system having a first display device (LCD 14) and a second display device (CRT 24), the method comprising allocating a first memory location (56) for storing contents to be displayed by said first display device, wherein said first memory location is accessible by a video display controller (122), allocating a second memory location (56') for storing contents to be displayed by said second display device, wherein said second memory location is accessible by said video display controller; in response to a selection of a split display mode, retaining information in said first memory location and updating information in said second memory location, such that contents displayed on said first display device are different from contents displayed on the second display device (see col. 17, lines 45-54). However, Chee does not disclose in response to a selection of a concurrent display mode, providing identical information to said first and second memory location, such that contents displayed on said first display device are identical to contents displayed on said second display device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have to selection of a concurrent display mode providing identical information to said first and second memory location, such that contents displayed on said first display device are identical to contents displayed on said second display device into the system of Chee because the background of the invention does teach the both display devices (CRT and LCD) are the same image (see col. 5, lines24-25).

Regarding claims 2, 25, 32, Chee discloses wherein the identification information as discussed above, further includes providing information from a frame buffer (38, fig. 5) to the first and second memory locations (56, 56').

Regarding claims 4, 27, 34, Chee discloses in figure 6, wherein said providing identical information further includes setting a pointer pointing from a frame buffer (66) to said first and second memory locations (56, 56').

Regarding claims 6, 29, 36, Chee discloses that wherein said first display device (CRT) is external from said computer system and said second display device (LCD) is internal to said commuter system (see fig. 5)

Regarding claims 7, 30, 37, Chee discloses that wherein said selection between said concurrent and split display mode are made via a soft key function (see central processing unit CPU with input device and may run a program see col. 6, lines 26-33).

5. Claims 3, 5, 26, 28, 33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chee (US 5,694,141) in view of Komeichi (US 5,929,871).

Che discloses every feature of the claimed invention, excluding wherein said updating information further includes allocating a second frame buffer; and providing information from said second frame buffer to said second memory location while providing information from said

frame buffer to said first memory location; or wherein said updating information further includes allocating second frame buffer and setting a second pointer pointing from said second frame buffer to said first memory location. Komeichi discloses in figures 4-5 a second frame buffer (39); and providing information from said second frame buffer to said second memory (see second store region 39-2) location while providing information from said frame buffer (38) to said first memory location (see first store region 39-1); or wherein said updating information further includes allocating second frame buffer (39) and setting a second pointer pointing from said second frame buffer (39-3) to said first memory location (see first store region 39-1) (see column 3, lines 35-49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a second frame buffer; and providing information from said second frame buffer to said second memory location while providing information from said frame buffer to said first memory location as taught by Komeichi into the system having the first and second display of Chee because this would improve the utilization efficiency of the memory capacity provided by memories forming the frame buffer part relatively simple circuit.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7698. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI, FROM 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on (571) 272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimnhung Nguyen
April 7, 2005



ALEXANDER EISEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER